

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,082	10/801,082 03/15/2004		Hisham Menkara	031204 9484	
7:	590	03/23/2006		EXAM	INER
Christopher J.	Whewe	ell	HODGES, MATTHEW P		
Western Patent Group					
6020 Tonkowa Trail				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Georgetown, TX 78628				2879	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

310.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/801,082	MENKARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Matt P. Hodges	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	—· s action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	,					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application	1	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10-14,16-18,22,24-28,30-32</u>	and 34-42 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 4,9,15,19-21,23,29 and 33 is/are obj	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2004 is/are:		to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· ·	* *				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		tion No				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> </ol>	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
2)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/15/2004</u> .	6)  Other:					

Art Unit: 2879

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 10, 30, 31, 34, 35, 38, 39, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sun et al. (US 5,677,594)

Regarding claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 10, 30, 31, 34, 35, 38, 39, and 41, Sun discloses the use of light emitting device including a white emitting mixture of Sr<sub>0.5</sub>Ca<sub>0.5</sub>Ga<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>4</sub>:Ce,F. (Column 5 lines 5-35). The activator would be doped in concentrations of between .0001% and 10% mol percent respectively. Further Sun discloses the use of multiple phosphors with difference activators in order to generate white light. (Column 2 line 4-16).

Claims 16-18, 22, 25, 26, 30, 31, 32, 36, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chua et al. (US 2005/0156510)

Regarding claims 16-18, 22, 25, 26, 30, 31, 32, 36, and 39, Chua discloses the use of light emitting device including a white emitting mixture of BaGa<sub>4</sub>S<sub>7</sub>:Eu. (Paragraph 0024). The activator would be doped in concentrations of between 0001% and 10% mol percent

Art Unit: 2879

respectively. Further the phosphors are used on an device with a blue emitting diode. (Paragraph 0017).

Claims 16-18, 22, 24-28, 30, 31, 32, 36, and 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yano et al. (US 5,677,594)

Regarding claims 16-18, 22, 24-28, 30, 31, 32, 36, and 38-42, Yano discloses the use of light emitting device including a white emitting mixture of BaGa<sub>4</sub>S<sub>7</sub>:Eu. (Paragraph 0046). The activator would be doped in concentrations of between .0001% and 10% mol percent respectively. Further Yano discloses the use of multiple phosphors with difference activators in order to generate white light. (Paragraph 0047).

Claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 30, 31, 32, 36, 37, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Velthaus et al. (US 5,505,986)

Regarding claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 30, 31, 32, 36, 37, and 39, Velthaus discloses the use of light emitting device including a white emitting mixture of ZnSrGa<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>:Ce. (Column 3 lines 20-34). The activator would be doped in concentrations of between .0001% and 10% mol percent respectively.

Claims 1-3, 7, 10-14, 30-32, 36, and 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Juestel et al. (US 2002/0105266)

Regarding claims 1-3, 7, 10-14, 30-32, 36, and 38-42, Juestel discloses the use of light emitting diode including a white emitting phosphor mixture of (Sr, Ca, Ba)(Al, Ga)<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>:Eu and

Art Unit: 2879

CaLa<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>:Ce. (Paragraphs 0028 and 0031). The activator would be doped in concentrations of between .0001% and 10% mol percent respectively. Further the diode emits light in the UV-Blue range to excite the stated phosphors.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 9, 15, 19-21, 23, 29, and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 4, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 4, and specifically comprising the limitation a phosphor mixture including  $MA_2(S_xSe_y)_4$ :B. where M, A, and B are selected from the values given and x is between 0 and 0.5 while y is between 0 and 0.5.

Regarding claim 9, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 9, and specifically comprising the limitation a phosphor mixture including Zn<sub>u</sub>Sr<sub>v</sub>Ga<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>x</sub>Se<sub>y</sub>)<sub>4</sub>:Eu. where U and V are the values given and x is about 0.615 and y is about 0.385.

Regarding claim 15, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 15, and specifically comprising the limitation a phosphor mixture including  $Zn_uSr_vGa_2(S_xSe_y)_4$ : Eu. where U and V are the values given and x is about 0.615 and y is about 0.385.

Regarding claim 19, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 19, and specifically comprising the limitation

Art Unit: 2879

a phosphor mixture including  $MA_4(S_xSe_y)_7$ :B. where M, A, and B are selected from the values given and x is between 0 and 0.5 while y is between 0 and 0.5.

Regarding claim 20, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 20, and specifically comprising the limitation a phosphor mixture including  $MA_4(S_xSe_y)_7$ :B. where M, A, and B are selected from the values given and x is between 0 and 0.5 while y is between 0.5 and 1.

Regarding claim 21, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 21, and specifically comprising the limitation a phosphor mixture including  $MA_4(S_xSe_y)_7$ :B. where M, A, and B are selected from the values given and x is about 0 and y is about 1.

Regarding claim 23, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 23, and specifically comprising the limitation a phosphor mixture including Ba<sub>u</sub>Sr<sub>v</sub>Ga<sub>4</sub>(S<sub>x</sub>Se<sub>y</sub>)<sub>7</sub>: Eu. where U and V are the values given and x is about 0.88 and y is about 0.12.

Regarding claim 23, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 23, and specifically comprising the limitation a phosphor mixture including Ba<sub>u</sub>Sr<sub>v</sub>Ga<sub>4</sub>(S<sub>x</sub>Se<sub>y</sub>)<sub>7</sub>:Eu. where U and V are the values given and x is about 0.88 and y is about 0.12.

Claim 33 is allowable for the same reasons as cited for claims 4 and 19 above.

Art Unit: 2879

## Contact Information

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (571) 272-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mph MX

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800